

REMARKS

1. The Examiner has rejected claims 3-6, 8, 9, 11-15, 17, 18, 20, 21, 23, 24, and 26 under 35 U. S. C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 4, 5, 8, 9, 17, 18, 20, 21, 23, 24, and 26 are canceled.  
Claims 3, 6, and 11-15 are amended. Claims 3, 6, and 11-15 as amended are believed to satisfy 35 U. S. C. 112, second paragraph.

2. The Examiner has rejected claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7-9, 16-26, 28, 30, and 31 under 35 U. S. C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Harrison et al (US 6,151,353).

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7-9, 16-26, 28, 30, and 31 are canceled.

3. The Examiner has objected to claims 10, 27, and 29 as being dependent upon a rejected base claims, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claims and any intervening claims.

Claims 10, 27, and 29 are amended and rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claims and any intervening claims.

Claims 10, 27, and 29 as amended are believed to be allowable.

4. The Examiner has indicated that claims 3-6, and 11-15 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejections(s) under 35 U. S. C. 112 second paragraph, and to include all of the limitations of the base claims and any intervening claims.

Claims 3-6, and 11-15 are amended to overcome the rejections(s) under 35 U. S. C. 112 second paragraph, and to include all of the limitations of the base claims and any intervening claims. Thus, claims 3-6, and 11-15 as amended are believed to be allowable.

5. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7-9, 16-26, 28, 30, and 31 are canceled.

6. Now claims 3, 6, 10, 11-15, 27, and 29 are pending in the present application and are believed to be in condition of allowance. Reconsideration of the rejections is respectfully solicited.

September 28, 2007

Respectfully Submitted by



Boris Tankhilevich

Patent attorney for the applicant

Reg. No. 38,689.